

Credit Opinion: Alliander N.V.

Global Credit Research - 08 Feb 2013

Arnhem, Netherlands

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	Aa3
Senior Unsecured -Dom Curr	Aa3
Pref. Stock -Dom Curr	A3
Other Short Term -Dom Curr	(P)P-1
Alliander Finance B.V.	
Outlook	Stable
Bkd Senior Unsecured -Dom Curr	Aa3

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Nicholas Stevens/London	44.20.7772.5454
Stefanie Voelz/London	
Andrew Blease/London	

Key Indicators

[1]Alliander N.V.	6/30/2012(L)	12/31/2011	12/31/2010	12/31/2009	12/31/2008
(FFO + Interest) / Interest Expense	4.8x	4.9x	5.2x	5.1x	3.7x
Net Debt / Fixed Assets	47.3%	42.3%	41.2%	46.5%	17.4%
FFO / Net Debt	25.1%	28.0%	25.4%	29.6%	59.8%
RCF / CAPEX	1.0x	1.2x	1.4x	0.5x	0.3x

[1] All ratios are calculated using Moody's Standard Adjustments. Source: Moody's Financial Metrics

Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying [User's Guide](#).

Opinion

Corporate Profile

Alliander N.V. (Alliander) owns and manages, through its fully owned subsidiaries of Liander, Liandon and Endinet, electricity and gas distribution networks in the Dutch provinces of Gelderland, Noord-Holland and large parts of Flevoland, Friesland and Zuid-Holland. Alliander is fully owned by Dutch provinces and municipalities, with the largest owners being the provinces of Gelderland (45%), Noord-Holland (9%), Friesland (13%) and the Municipality of Amsterdam (9%). The remaining 24% share is owned by approximately 54 small municipalities where Alliander provides its network services. Alliander is one of the three largest electricity and gas network operators in the Netherlands, responsible for the maintenance, management and development of the medium and low-voltage electricity and gas distribution grids. With approximately 3 million electricity and 2.6 million gas customers, Alliander has a combined market position of 37% in the Netherlands.

Rating Rationale

Alliander's Aa3 issuer rating is underpinned by a combination of: (i) the low-risk business profile of Alliander's domestic electricity and gas regulated distribution network operations; (ii) the well-defined, transparent and cost-efficient, Dutch regulatory framework; and (iii) the company's conservative financial profile, reflected by its strengthened capital structure and solid liquidity position. The Aa3 rating also incorporates a two-notch uplift for potential support from its owners.

Rating Drivers

Given its 100% ownership by Dutch provinces and municipalities, Alliander falls within the scope of our rating methodology for government-related issuers (GRIs). In accordance with the methodology, Alliander's rating incorporates uplift for potential support from its owners to its standalone credit quality, which is expressed as a baseline credit assessment (BCA) of a2.

Although the ownership of Alliander is relatively fragmented among approximately 58 provinces and municipalities, we perceive the shareholders to be capable and willing to act in conjunction with one another. Furthermore, the 76% ownership share of the four largest provinces and their historically proven ability to reach consensus provides us with comfort for the assignment of a strong level of expected systemic support to Alliander in case of a distressed situation.

This assessment considers Alliander's strategic importance and our view that extraordinary support from its governmental owners is more likely for a pure electricity and gas distribution network company compared with a vertically integrated utility. In addition, the two-notch uplift to Alliander's BCA incorporates the credit quality of the company's shareholders and our assessment of a very high level of default dependence. This assessment reflects Alliander's significant exposure to the Dutch economy, as almost all of the company's revenues and cash flows are generated from domestic activities and have a high level of correlation to common drivers of credit quality.

When assessing Alliander's BCA, we apply our Rating Methodology for Regulated Electric and Gas Networks, which identifies key areas of focus for assessing the relative fundamental credit quality of regulated electric and gas network companies. The methodology focuses on the assessment of the regulatory environment, ownership model, operational performance, stability of business model, financial policy and key credit metrics. Based on historical financial performance, the methodology grid indicates a BCA of a1 for Alliander. Any positive pressure on Alliander's BCA aligning the methodology grid outcome and the assigned BCA would depend on the company's ability and willingness to adjust the increasing investment and acquisition activity.

Alliander's rating is supported by low business risk, strong financial profile and prudent financial policies, encouraged by its local government owners. The rating also benefits from uplift because of the potential for systemic support given their ownership model and the clear policy choice made in the Netherlands to maintain network utilities in public ownership. At Aa3, Alliander's rating, is materially higher than those of many of its European peers, which have private shareholders and exhibit higher leverage and whose ratings are generally in the low single-A/high Baa categories.

RATING FACTOR 1 - Regulatory Environment and Asset Ownership Model

Currently in its fifth regulatory period, the Dutch regulatory framework, applied since 2001, allows the country's electricity and gas distribution companies to earn a return on their regulated asset base, adjusted for a consumer price index (CPI) and an efficiency incentive X factor. The regulation incorporates incentives based on a "yardstick" mechanism, which defines the efficiency X and quality Q factors based on industry averages and encourages network companies to improve profitability by outperforming the sector through improved efficiency and increased quality. The solid score (Aa) for the "Stability and Predictability of Regulatory Regime" sub-factor reflects the consistent application of transparent regulatory methodologies by the Dutch regulator.

We view positively that the negative X-factor, set by the Dutch regulator for the fifth regulatory period (2011-13), allows a gradual increase of tariffs and positively impacts the financial profile of the distribution network operators through strengthened cash flow generation, as already evidenced by Alliander's financial results through 2011 and 1H 2012. However, we keep the score for the "Cost and Investment Recovery" sub-factor at A, as the mechanism of setting the X-factor has not changed and the negative X-factor reflects the regulator's recognition of network operators' needs to cover growing costs incurred in the previous regulatory period.

The regulatory mechanism based on a revenue cap model and the introduction of capacity-based payments

reduces the exposure of network companies to volume volatility risk, resulting in Alliander scoring Aa for the "Revenue Risk" sub-factor. We have assigned the same score to Alliander for the "Asset Ownership Model" sub-factor, given the company's full ownership of the network assets under a licence.

RATING FACTOR 2 - Efficiency and Execution Risk

Our overall score of Alliander within Factor 2 is supported by the high technical operating performance of Dutch networks, which is generally very solid in the context of their European peers. The company's very low average annual outage time reflects the extremely high reliability of its network assets. Alliander's score of A for the sub-factor "Cost Efficiency" further reflects its historically consistent performance relative to the regulatory targets and its Dutch peers.

Although Alliander does not plan significantly large single projects as part of its capital investment programme, its Baa score for the "Scale and complexity of Capital Programme" sub-factor reflects our expectation that Alliander's investment plan, of which EUR 249 million was spent in 1H 2012, including the rollout of smart meters, is set to increase over the next five years.

RATING FACTOR 3 - Stability of Business Model and Financial Structure

With the exception of the construction and maintenance of complex infrastructures and some small scale German operations, which do not exceed 10% of its revenues, Alliander strategically focuses on its core business of regulated activities based on its distribution network assets, which justifies its solid A score within the sub-factor "Proportion of Activities outside the Core Network Segment".

Although Alliander's strategy is fully focused on its core business of regulated network activities, the company's acquisition of Endinet in July 2010 demonstrates a willingness on behalf of management to pursue acquisition activity, as well as an appetite to increase leverage, although within Alliander's financial policy framework. Although the acquisition is in line with the government's and owners' view of long-term consolidation of the Dutch distribution network sector in the Netherlands, we have assigned a rating of Baa to Alliander for the sub-factors "Ability and Willingness to Pursue Opportunistic Corporate Activity" and "Ability and Willingness to Increase Leverage" reflecting the company's plans to play a role in the long-term strategy for consolidation of the Dutch distribution network sector.

RATING FACTOR 4 - Key Credit Metrics

Historically solid financial performance enabled Alliander to absorb the EUR 712 million acquisition of Endinet B.V. without materially threatening its position within its rating category. Positively, the negative X-factor set by the Dutch regulator for the current regulatory period (2011-13) enables a gradual increase of tariffs. The resultant revenue growth has been visible through 2011 and 1H 2012. However, the effects of the investment ramp-up and organisational change are also evident, contributing to a decline in profitability during the first half of 2012.

We point out that the positive impact of the regulatory revenue growth depends upon the successful execution of the cost efficiency measures. Benefits could be constrained by any decisions to debt-finance potential future acquisitions of smaller network operators, as envisaged within the overall strategy for consolidation of the Dutch distribution network segment. Furthermore, the need to fund the growing investment programme might restrict the future strengthening of Alliander's financial profile, especially if the smart metering project gets expedited compared with the company's expectations from 2014.

Liquidity Profile

Alliander's strong liquidity position is supported by solid cash flow generation, the manageable maturity profile of its outstanding debt obligations at end-June 2012: EUR 2.25 billion of notes issued under the EUR 3 billion euro medium-term note (EMTN) programme; EUR 400 million of commercial paper under the EUR 1.5 billion ECP programme; and an undrawn committed revolving credit facility of EUR 600 million, maturing in July 2017. In October 2012 the company launched a tender to pre-purchase up to EUR 400 million of near-term bond maturities, and in November 2012 issued a EUR 400 million 10-year bond to fund the refinancing. Following this, Alliander's next significant bond maturities are now EUR 276 million and EUR 400 million due in December 2014 and April 2016 respectively. This activity has smoothed the debt maturity profile, with remaining principal amounts sufficiently covered by the undrawn revolving credit facility. Following payment of EUR 113 million in respect of the 2011 dividend, cash at bank at end-June 2012 was EUR 97 million. We understand the company additionally has term deposits and marketable securities that are not reported within the cash and equivalents at 1H 2012, and which amounted to EUR 652 million. Alliander's future liquidity position also depends on its ability to adjust its

investment plans, according to its financial performance and debt repayment requirements.

CROSS-BORDER LEASE AGREEMENTS (CBL)

We note that Alliander has exposure to contingent liabilities represented by seven CBLs. In the unlikely case of an unscheduled early termination of all of these CBLs at the same time this could give rise to a total exposure of \$240 million. In addition, market-to-market changes in valuations of underlying investments could lead to a higher strip risk and increase Alliander's total exposure to \$390 million. According to the conditions of some of the CBLs, the company would need to post additional letters of credit if its ratings were to drop below certain minimum levels. Currently, Alliander posts \$74 million of letters of credit. In this context, we note that the company currently has solid liquidity within its EUR 600 million credit facility to cover potential financing needs stemming from the CBLs, including a sufficient limit for issuing related letters of credit. The documentation of the credit facility includes certain financial covenants that the company is required to maintain. Alliander is in compliance with all the covenants with comfortable headroom.

Rating Outlook

The stable outlook for Alliander reflects our expectation that the company will remain a pure electricity and gas distribution network operator that derives most of its revenues and cash flow from regulated activities. Furthermore, we would expect Alliander to continue to follow its conservative financial policy.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

For any upward pressure on the rating to occur, Alliander would need to consistently achieve ratios well above the credit metrics set by the company within its financial policy and incorporated in its business plan (funds from operations (FFO) interest coverage at or above 3.5x and FFO/net debt above 20%) on a sustainable basis.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

To be safely positioned within its current BCA of a2, we would expect Alliander's credit metrics not to materially deteriorate below the minimum levels set within the company's financial policy: FFO interest coverage at or above 3.5x and FFO/net debt above 20% on a sustainable basis. If the debt protection metrics decline substantially below these levels, perhaps due to a significant increase in indebtedness above the forecast levels or weakening of cash flow generation, the BCA and rating would come under downward pressure.

Rating Factors

Alliander N.V.

Regulated Electric and Gas Networks [1][2]	Aaa	Aa	A	Baa	Ba	B
Factor 1: Regulatory Environment & Asset Ownership Model (40%)						
a) Stability and Predictability of Regulatory Regime		X				
b) Asset Ownership Model		X				
c) Cost and Investment Recovery			X			
d) Revenue Risk		X				
Factor 2: Efficiency & Execution Risk (10%)						
a) Cost Efficiency			X			
b) Scale and Complexity of Capital Programme				X		
Factor 3: Stability of Business Model & Financial Structure (10%)						
a) Ability and Willingness to Pursue Opportunistic Corporate Activity				X		
b) Ability and Willingness to Increase Leverage				X		
c) Targeted Proportion of Operating Profit Outside Core Regulated Activities			X			
Factor 4: Key Credit Metrics (40%)						
a) (FFO + Interest) / Interest Expense (3-Year Average)		5.0x				
b) Net Debt / Fixed Assets (3 Year Avg)		43.2%				
c) FFO / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)		27.7%				

d) RCF / CAPEX (3 Year Avg)					0.9x	
Rating:						
a) Indicated BCA from Grid			a1			
b) Actual BCA Assigned			a2			

Government-Related Issuer	Factor
a) Baseline Credit Assessment	a2
b) Government Local Currency Rating	Aaa/NEG
c) Default Dependence	Very High
d) Support	Strong

[1] All ratios are calculated using Moody's Standard Adjustments. [2] As of 12/31/2011. Source: Moody's Financial Metrics



© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in

assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.